

11-9-1979

Minutes, November 9, 1979

Follow this and additional works at: https://opencommons.uconn.edu/bot_agendas

Recommended Citation

"Minutes, November 9, 1979" (1979). *Agendas and Minutes*. 375.
https://opencommons.uconn.edu/bot_agendas/375

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT

Held at the Faculty-Alumni Center and
the W. B. Young Building Auditorium

November 9, 1979

The meeting was called to order at 11:20 a.m. by Chairman Gordon W. Tasker. Trustees present were: Mesdames Briscoe, Jorgensen, and Kronholm, Messrs. Brown, Canzonetti, Catanese, Crisco, Cunningham, D'Oliveira, Donen, Gamble, Kleban, Lowney, Rich, and Tasker.

Trustees absent were: Governor Grasso, Messrs. Krogh, Shedd, and Stroh.

University staff present were: President DiBiaggio, Vice Presidents Adams, DiBenedetto, Gillis, Glasgow, and Wilson, and Attorney McKenna.

All actions were by unanimous vote except as otherwise noted.

- I. On a motion by Mr. Donen, seconded by Mrs. Briscoe, THE BOARD VOTED to go into executive session for the purpose of considering matters of personnel and collective bargaining. The Chairman stated that since the matters to be considered will require staff information, the minutes should reflect that President DiBiaggio, Vice Presidents Adams, DiBenedetto, Gillis, Glasgow, and Wilson, Attorney McKenna, Messrs. Bailey, Kimball, and McGowan were in attendance. Members of the Board present at this time were: Mesdames Briscoe, Jorgensen, and Kronholm, Messrs. Brown, Canzonetti, Catanese, Crisco, Cunningham, D'Oliveira, Donen, Gamble, Kleban, Lowney, Rich, and Tasker. While in executive session, the Board took the following actions:

- (A) The Board reviewed the latest recommendations on personnel matters pertaining to appointments, leaves of absence, promotions, reassignments, resignations, and retirements with the understanding that the vote on these personnel matters would be taken in the open session part of the Board meeting.

- (B) Vice Presidents Glasgow and Wilson reported briefly on the latest developments in the area of collective bargaining.
 - (C) University Counsel, Attorney McKenna, commented on recent litigation matters of interest and concern to the Trustees.
 - (D) President DiBiaggio reported on the status of the search for a Vice President at the Health Center.
 - (E) Chairman Tasker declared the meeting was recessed for lunch at 12:15 p.m.
2. The meeting was reconvened in open session at 2:00 p.m.
 3. Hearing no objections, Chairman Tasker declared the minutes of the meeting of October 12, 1979 were approved as previously circulated.
 4. In light of the heavy agenda for the afternoon session, President DiBiaggio indicated that with the permission of the Board he would waive his report to the Board.
 5. Mr. Rich, reporting as Chairman of the Budget and Finance Committee, said that the Committee had reviewed the proposed contracts and agreements and voted to recommend approval to the Board. Chairman Tasker, noting that there was no discussion or dissent by the Trustees, declared the contracts and agreements as presented were approved and that the President, Vice Presidents, Associate Executive Director, and Assistant Vice Presidents were authorized to sign the necessary documents on behalf of the University. A copy of the approved list is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
 6. Mr. Rich reported that the Budget and Finance Committee had met on a number of occasions for an extensive review of the twelve fee proposals. In presenting the Committee's recommendations, Mr. Rich suggested separate Board action on each of the fee recommendations. It was noted that in accordance with the By-Laws all of the actions pertaining to the adjustment of fees would lie on the table until the January meeting of the Board.
- (A) On a motion by Mr. Rich, seconded by Mr. Kleban, THE BOARD VOTED to establish a Residence Hall Energy surcharge of \$20.00 per student for the Spring 1980 Semester.

(B) Mr. Rich moved approval of the following:

Increase the Residence Hall Fees up to a maximum of \$300 per year and subject to alternative solutions to an annual rate of \$1,044.00 to \$1,274.00 depending on the accommodations effective in the Fall 1980 Semester.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Crisco.

After referring to alternative funding possibilities that would decrease the proposed amount of increase in the Residence Hall Fees, Mr. Donen offered as an amendment that the Residence Hall Fees be increased subject to alternatives up to a maximum of \$270.00 per year instead of \$300.00. For the purpose of discussion, the Chairman seconded Mr. Donen's proposed amendment. Dr. Canzonetti pointed out that the original motion would sanction an increase up to \$300.00 per year, leaving to the administration and to events in the future, alternatives that might accrue as a consequence of funding and initiatives in savings. Mr. Rich indicated that the administration at a later time would be presenting a report as to possible fund transfers; however, at this time, the level of expectation for such a change is very low. Mr. Brown, while expressing the hope that the increase might turn out to be less than \$270, said that he would not want to obviate the deliberations of the Committee and their resulting recommendations.

After calling for the vote on Mr. Donen's motion to amend the maximum level of increase, Chairman Tasker declared the motion to amend was defeated. Trustees Canzonetti and Donen favored the amendment; Trustees Briscoe, Brown, Catanese, Crisco, D'Oliveira, Gamble, Jorgensen, Kleban, Kronholm, Lowney, and Rich opposed the amendment; Mr. Cunningham abstained.

After calling for action on the original motion by Mr. Rich, THE BOARD VOTED to approve an increase in the Residence Hall Fees up to a maximum of \$300.00 per year and subject to alternative solutions to an annual rate of \$1,044.00 to \$1,274.00 depending on the accommodations effective in the Fall 1980 Semester. Trustees Briscoe, Brown, Canzonetti, Catanese, Crisco, D'Oliveira, Gamble, Jorgensen, Kleban, Kronholm, Lowney, and Rich voted in favor of the action; Trustees Cunningham and Donen opposed the action.

- (C) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mr. Crisco, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the board fee for the University operated food service \$180.00 per year to a new annual rate of \$928.00 effective in the Fall 1980 Semester.
- (D) On Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Dr. Lowney, THE BOARD VOTED to increase and establish Service Fees effective with the Fall of 1980 as follows:
1. Late Payment Fee (Credit Extension and Summer School) - increase from \$10.00 to \$25.00.
 2. Late Payment Fee (Graduate Students) - new fee of \$25.00.
- (E) Mr. Rich moved that the Board set a mandated Health Services Fee of \$55.00 per semester at the Storrs Campus and \$8.00 per semester at the Greater Hartford Campus and restructure the General University Fee effective the Fall Semester 1980 as follows:

<u>Location</u>	<u>From</u>		<u>To</u>
Storrs	\$480	up to	\$390
Hartford Campus	260	"	210
Southeastern Campus	260	"	210
Stamford Campus	260	"	210
Torrington Campus	260	"	210
Waterbury Campus	260	"	210
School of Law	600	"	320
School of Social Work	440	"	320

Mr. Rich's motion was seconded by Mr. Catanese. Citing the changes in the Storrs fee structure as an example, Mr. Rich explained that the Health Services Fee would be extracted from the General University Fee and would be listed as a separate billing item. Effective with the Fall Semester 1980 the Storrs based students would pay \$390 for the General University Fee plus the Health Services Fee of \$55. The insertion of "up to" was to provide some latitude in the event that the administration should find some way of decreasing the General University Fee.

Mrs. Jorgensen, objecting to the insertion of "up to," said that it would be misleading to the students and that she did not see any advantage to changing a stated fee to a flexible fee reference.

In response to Mr. Donen's request, the President said that the Storrs campus General University Fee would be reviewed as to whether it might be reduced by \$20 through an analysis of whether reserves would be available to cover that deficit and that it would be reported upon at the next meeting.

Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, THE BOARD VOTED to set a mandated Health Services Fee of \$55.00 per semester at the Storrs Campus and \$8.00 per semester at the Greater Hartford Campus and restructure the General University Fee effective the Fall Semester 1980 as follows:

<u>Location</u>	<u>From</u>		<u>To</u>
Storrs	\$480	up to	\$390
Hartford Campus	260	"	210
Southeastern Campus	260	"	210
Stamford Campus	260	"	210
Torrington Campus	260	"	210
Waterbury Campus	260	"	210
School of Law	600	"	320
School of Social Work	440	"	320

Chairman Tasker asked the administration to plan to make a presentation to the Board sometime in the spring as to the health plan that is presently available to students on campus. This presentation should inform the Trustees of the comprehensiveness of the health service that is offered.

- (F) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mrs. Briscoe, THE BOARD VOTED to increase from \$30.00 to \$50.00 the Deposit Account maintained by all students effective the Fall Semester 1980.

Trustee Catanese voted against the action.

- (G) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Dr. Lowney, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the Credit Extension Fee at the School of Social Work from \$45.00 to \$65.00 per credit hour effective with the Fall of 1980.
- (H) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Dr. Lowney, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the School of Law Evening Division General University Fee from \$50.00 to \$56.00 per credit hour applicable only to students entering in 1980-81 and subsequent years. No increase is proposed for students who have already matriculated at the School.

Mrs. Jorgensen abstained from voting on this action.

- (I) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mrs. Briscoe, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the School of Law June Term Fee from \$50.00 to \$56.00 per credit hour effective with the 1981 June Term.

- (J) Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mrs. Briscoe, THE BOARD VOTED to establish a Senior Citizen Administrative Fee of \$15.00 per semester to be charged to all persons 62 years of age or older who seek to audit undergraduate courses on a space available, not-for-credit basis effective for the Fall Semester 1980.
- (K) Mr. Rich presented the Budget and Finance Committee recommendation that the yearly tuition at the School of Law be increased by \$200 for matriculated students, by \$450.00 for students entering in 1980-81 and subsequent years, and decrease the yearly General University Fee for all students by \$200.00 as adopted in Item E; and further, if the recommended \$450.00, or any part thereof, is not subsequently approved by the Board of Higher Education or by the Legislature, an amount equal to that not approved would be added to the General University Fees for students enrolled in the School of Law Day Division .

After expressing his concern that the Board would be setting a dangerous precedent by increasing the quality or augmenting the academic program through a tuition increase and that the right thing to do is to make a case before the legislature, Mr. Donen moved that at this time there be no action on tuition increases at the School of Law with the provision that the administration make further recommendations on Law School tuition levels following the 1980 session of the General Assembly. The motion was seconded by Mr. Catanese. After calling for the vote on the motion, the Chairman declared that motion had not carried. Trustees Donen and Catanese voted for the motion; Trustees Briscoe, Brown, Canzonetti, Crisco, Cunningham, D'Oliveira, Gamble, Kleban, Kronholm, Lowney, and Rich opposed the motion. Mrs. Jorgensen abstained.

Acting on Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mr. Kleban, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the yearly tuition at the School of Law by \$200.00 for matriculated students, by \$450.00 for students entering in 1980-81 and subsequent years, and decrease the yearly General University Fee for all students by \$200.00 as adopted in Item E; and further, if the recommended \$450.00, or any part thereof, is not subsequently approved by the Board of Higher Education or by the Legislature, an amount equal to that not approved would be added to the General University Fees for students enrolled in the School of Law Day Division.

Trustees Briscoe, Brown, Canzonetti, Crisco, Cunningham, D'Oliveira, Gamble, Kleban, Kronholm, Lowney, and Rich favored the action; Trustees Catanese, Donen and Jorgensen opposed the action. During the discussion Mrs. Jorgensen expressed her opposition to the grandfather clause which will exempt students presently there from bearing a full share of the cost for the coming year.

- (L) Acting on a motion by Mr. Rich, seconded by Dr. Canzonetti, THE BOARD VOTED to increase the Dental Student Usage Fee from \$100.00 to \$125.00 effective with the 1980-81 academic year.

Mr. Catanese opposed the action.

7. Mr. Rich reported that the Budget and Finance Committee had approved the following distribution of the 1979-80 General University Fees of \$7,794,000:

Student Targeted Direct:	
Activities Coordinator	\$ 226,000
Student Union	415,500
University Health Service	1,587,000
University Financial Aid	1,220,000
Comprehensive-Direct:	
Athletics	1,245,000
Auditorium	183,600
Comprehensive-Indirect:	
Self-Liquidating Bond	1,843,500
Auxiliary Service Supported Programs	1,074,100
Combined Total	<u>\$7,794,700</u>

On Mr. Rich's motion, seconded by Mrs. Briscoe, THE BOARD VOTED to approve the above distribution of the 1979-80 General University Fees.

8. Mr. Kleban requested that the record reflect the extensive review that was carried out by Mr. Rich and the members of the Budget and Finance Committee prior to presentation of the fee matters before the full Board.
9. Mrs. Jorgensen, reporting on the latest meeting of the Board of Higher Education, said that the Master's Degree in Social Work which had been proposed for Southern Connecticut State College in New Haven was approved by the Board.

10. Mrs. Jorgensen, reporting as Chairperson of the University's Ad Hoc Committee on the Reorganization of Higher Education, said that first of all she would like to thank and pay tribute to all the members of the Ad Hoc Committee. Following a quotation from Washington Irving, Mrs. Jorgensen stated that the report which the Trustees have before them today was proposed as the University's first full response to the recently released Board of Higher Education report to the General Assembly. Mrs. Jorgensen reviewed in detail the background on the preparation of the Ad Hoc Committee's report. (A copy of Mrs. Jorgensen's complete remarks to the Board is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.) Mrs. Jorgensen reported that the Ad Hoc Committee, at its October 26, 1979 meeting, adopted Part I of the report which was mailed to all Trustees. She explained that Parts II and III, the Administration Analysis, commentary, and appendices were distributed with Part I for the use of all concerned.

The Committee has been informed that the Legislature's Joint Committee on Education plans to be deliberate and unhurried in its review of the BHE report, and that such legislative action as the Education Committee may recommend is not likely to appear during the 1980 session.

Chairperson of the Board's Ad Hoc Committee, Mrs. Jorgensen, moved that the Board of Trustees adopt Part I of the Committee report, the Summary Statement together with its recommendations, and that the Board receive Part II, the Administrative Analysis of the BHE report, and Part III, the Appendices.

The motion was seconded by Dr. Canzonetti.

In his remarks, Chairman Tasker stated that the Ad Hoc Committee report dealt with major issues and that he considered it to be an excellent document at this point in time.

Mrs. Jorgensen pointed out that favorable action by the Board would also make the administrative analyses and documentation a part of the public record for use in legislative committee deliberations and in discussion by students, staff, alumni, parents, and the citizens of the State.

Changes in the wording by Mr. Rich and Mr. Tasker were acceptable to Mrs. Jorgensen and Dr. Canzonetti: As suggested by Mr. Rich, the last line on page 1 was changed to read "BHE proposes to place a high percentage of cost directly on the students" The wording "a lowest common denominator" on page 7 was deleted in accordance with Mr. Tasker's suggestion.

Acting on Mrs. Jorgensen's motion, THE BOARD VOTED to adopt Part I of the Committee report, the summary Statement together with its recommendations, and further, to receive Part II, the Administrative Analysis of the BHE report, and Part III, the Appendices. A copy of the adopted material is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.

At this point, Mrs. Jorgensen paid tribute to Lois Torrence for her contributions to the Committee's report. Resounding applause followed Mrs. Jorgensen's words of appreciation.

In response to President DiBiaggio's request, permission was granted to circulate the report to the members of the Education Committee, to the members of the Board of Trustees of the Alumni Association, and to other constituent units of higher education throughout the State.

11. At this time in the meeting, Chairman Tasker suggested that the Trustees reflect on the Board's actions of today pertaining to fees and cost increases and the matter of restructuring of higher education, and what it is that we, as a Board, are concerned about or trying to say. Mr. Tasker was of the opinion that "Our reluctance to have to face up to cost increases is a strong expression of our concern about the support in this State for higher education, and in particular, the decreasing support for public higher education in Connecticut. We have to face up to maintaining a University that is fiscally stable and so these decisions are made on that basis -- but our reluctance is there.

"Our actions on the report of the Ad Hoc Committee, which we just approved, is really an expression of our interest in improving the quality of all higher education in Connecticut both private and public. Our report is not to be considered in opposition to BHE per se. It's simply that we think there are subjects that need attention and we think that BHE, or a similar organization should be directing their energy and attention to these matters. One matter is the financial support with respect to institutions of public education, and related to that, obviously, is quality of education. I want to emphasize that we are not seeking to be adversaries; we are not in opposition per se; we want attention to the matter of financial support of higher education and the quality of higher education.

"I think future actions of this Board, ought to be more or less in concert and consistent with those points that I have made and which the Board has made through its actions today. In effect, it is an expression of this Board and of this University's administration about our concerns for public higher education."

12. Mr. Brown, reporting as Chairman of the Institutional Policy Committee, moved that the several amendments to the LAWS AND BY-LAWS as contained in the agenda material be removed from the table and adopted by the Board. The motion was seconded by Mr. Cunningham and THE BOARD VOTED to adopt the technical and editorial amendments to the LAWS AND BY-LAWS. A copy of the approved changes is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
13. Mr. Brown informed the Trustees that the Institutional Policy Committee had agreed to recommend to the Board that it receive and table for action next month the proposed change in Article XM 15 of the LAWS AND BY-LAWS. The purpose of the amendment is to permit a separate Commencement for the School of Law.

Mr. Brown's motion to receive and table the proposed amendment was seconded by Mr. Donen.

During the discussion, Mrs. Jorgensen expressed her feelings that the people who graduate from the University's School of Law should have some familiarity with the Storrs campus. "If Commencement is the only time they put foot on campus -- they should do so! If a semblance of a community is to be maintained, it is important that the School of Law ceremonies be held at Storrs."

Chairman Tasker said that he echoed Mrs. Jorgensen's feelings. It is a University and a single Commencement brings something to the total University community.

Mr. Kleban, concurring with Mrs. Jorgensen's remarks and citing his voting record against fragmentizing the graduation exercises said that he still felt that the Medical/Dental exercises ought to be held on the Storrs campus.

Mr. Cunningham and Dr. Canzonetti, however, were of the opinion that association with the main campus should not be mandated by the Board.

In response to Dr. Lowney's question concerning any financial constraints and the cost of holding a separate Law School exercise, President DiBiaggio indicated that the Law School has been informed that they would only receive their portion of the Commencement dollars, as in the past, and they would have to work within those dollars no matter where the Commencement was staged.

Acting on Mr. Brown's motion, THE BOARD VOTED to receive and table the proposed amendment to the LAWS AND BY-LAWS to permit a separate Commencement for the School of Law. A copy of the proposed change is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes. Trustees Briscoe, Canzonetti, Crisco, Cunningham, D'Oliveira, Donen, Gamble, Lowney, and Rich favored the action. Trustees Brown, Catanese, Jorgensen, Kleban, Kronholm, and Tasker opposed the action.

14. Mr. Brown reported that several Trustees had attended the Day of Pride program this year. The highlight of that program was the appearance of Judge Constance Baker Motley and also the honorees who were former students at The University of Connecticut. It was truly a "Day of Pride" and Mr. Brown commended the annual affair to the Trustees for attendance at the program next year.
15. Dr. Canzonetti, reporting as Chairman of the Health Affairs Committee, said that the Committee had discussed the impact of the recision on operations and administrative actions related to the recision. The Committee was informed that the Physical Therapy Program has received full accreditation by the American Physical Therapy Association and that the Clinical Dietetic Program has received full accreditation status for five years. A report on the accreditation visit to the School of Pharmacy has been received and the major conclusions of that report will be presented at the next meeting.
16. Dr. Canzonetti, reporting on the latest meeting of the Capitol Area Health Consortium, said that the group is getting close to the final appointment of an Executive Director. The Consortium Board approved the University's application for an acute dialysis program. Our CT Scanner application is wending its way through the regulators. The application has been supported by the Consortium and hopefully further progress will take place.

Currently the Consortium is seeking reaffirmation by the constituent members of the present Bylaws. Sections 2 (a) and (b) of the Bylaws are being restudied. Dr. Canzonetti said that the Board may expect a recommendation on this matter at a later date.

17. Dr. Canzonetti, in his comments on the latest Health Affairs-Hospital Committee meeting, reported that the Hospital and Burgdorf operation experienced a \$22,000 gain in September, a month which because of holidays had only 19 working days. The fund balance for the Hospital and Burgdorf was reported at \$375,000. The inpatient census was maintained at 93% occupancy for September.

The Dental Clinics, after adjustments for the month, experienced a net gain of \$24,300, and the Medical Group Practice operated at a break even basis with no gain for September.

The Committee continued its discussion of malpractice insurance. The premium has been adjusted from \$700,000 to \$662,200. Efforts will go forward in looking at alternative ways in which the program can be maintained.

The Hospital completed the Commission on Hospital and Health Care budget forms and submitted them with the notation that "the enclosed document represents the Hospital's effort to voluntarily cooperate with the CHHC in hopes that this latter agency will be of assistance in matters of cost containment."

18. Dr. Canzonetti reported that the Health Affairs-Hospital Committee had reviewed and recommended Board approval of the proposed contracts and agreements. Chairman Tasker noting no discussion or dissent by the Trustees, declared the contracts and agreements as presented were approved and that the President, Vice Presidents, Associate Executive Director and Assistant Vice Presidents were authorized to sign the necessary documents on behalf of the University. A copy of the approved list is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
19. Dr. Canzonetti reported that the Committee had reviewed the latest list of recommendations pertaining to Medical/Dental Staff appointments and voted to recommend approval to the full Board. Chairman Tasker, noting no further discussion or dissent, declared the list was approved as presented. A copy of the approved list is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
20. Mr. Crisco, in his report on the recent meeting of the Community Relations Committee, said that the minutes of the meeting will be mailed to all Trustees. There were three items that the Committee had reviewed and agreed to recommend favorable Board action.
 - (A) Acting on a motion by Mr. Crisco, seconded by Mrs. Kronholm, THE BOARD VOTED to approve the establishment of a Centennial Campaign Fund Program for the period of 7/1/80 to 6/30/81 and that this objective be undertaken by a joint effort of the Trustees, Alumni Association, Foundation, and other appropriate constituencies.

Be it further resolved that the purpose of this fund will be to provide a financial reserve for use by the President of the University subject to Board approval, for those areas of University endeavors which are in need for support to avoid a decline in excellence. In addition, as a part of this campaign, there shall be established a President's Club with appropriate bylaws and guidelines.

In order to initiate this campaign as stated above, a meeting of the officials representing the above groups shall be called by the Chairman of the Board of Trustees to review the need for cooperation, coordination, assistance and the establishing of guidelines to achieve success of this urgently needed assistance to the University.

- (B) On a motion by Mr. Crisco, seconded by Mrs. Kronholm, THE BOARD VOTED to approve the recommendation that at least once a year there shall be a President's Conference of University Boards and Councils as a one-day special exposure to various aspects of the University.
 - (C) On a motion by Mr. Crisco, seconded by Mrs. Kronholm, THE BOARD VOTED to approve the recommendation that the Community Relations Committee be expanded to include the presidents of the Alumni Association and Foundation as ex officio members.
21. On a motion by Dr. Lowney, seconded by Mrs. Jorgensen, THE BOARD VOTED to approve a formal list of the actions already taken by the administration on personnel matters pertaining to appointments, leaves of absence, promotions, reassignments, resignations, and retirements, and authorized the Secretary of the Board to sign the necessary documents on behalf of the Board of Trustees. A copy of the approved lists is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
 22. On a motion by Mr. Cunningham, seconded by Dr. Lowney, THE BOARD VOTED to accept and/or approve the list of awards and donations. A copy of the approved list is attached to the file copy of the Board minutes.
 23. Mr. D'Oliveira, reporting on developments relating to the Higher Education Center in Waterbury, said that an educational consultant will be selected next week. The consultant will "Upgrade the Master Plan" and determine the academic needs in that area.

Referring to the University's move into the new complex, Mr. D'Oliveira reported a delay or postponement of plans for a number of reasons; funds for the operation of the new HEC building may not be forthcoming; not enough time for planning the move; and too many unknown factors at this time, i.e., funding for the UConn building and funding for Phase 2.

24. No further business appearing, the meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Otha N. Brown, Jr.
Secretary