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Cool-Season Turfgrass Color and Growth Calibrated to Leaf Nitrogen

Salvatore S. Mangiafico and Karl Guillard*

Tissue N analysis a tool available for N management of turfgrass. However, peer-reviewed calibration studies to determine optimum tissue N values are lacking. A field experiment with a mixed cool-season species lawn and a greenhouse experiment with Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) were conducted across 2 yr, each with randomized complete block design. Treatments were N application rates between 0 and 587 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). In the field experiment, clipping samples were taken monthly from May to September, dried, ground, and analyzed for total N. Clippings samples were collected one to two mowings after plots were fertilized. Linear plateau models comparing relative clipping yield, Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage hue, and CM1000 index to leaf N concentrations were developed. In the greenhouse experiment, clipping samples were taken every 2 wk from May to October and composited across sample dates for leaf N analysis. Color and clipping yields were related to leaf N concentrations using linear plateau models. These models indicated small marginal improvements in growth or color when leaf N exceeded 30 g kg\(^{-1}\), suggesting that a leaf N test can separate turf with optimum leaf N concentrations from turf with below optimum leaf N concentrations. Plateaus in leaf N concentrations with increasing N fertilizer rates suggest, however, that this test may be unable to identify sites with excess available soil N when turf has been mowed before tissue sampling.
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desorbed from anion exchange membranes may serve to separate turfgrass sites that would be responsive to additional N application from nonresponsive sites (Kopp and Guillard, 2002b; Mangiafico and Guillard, 2005, 2006), but this technique has not been widely adopted for use in turfgrass management. Similarly, salt extractable soil NO₃−N concentration may be used to determine N sufficiency in perennial grassland sites (Collins and Allinson, 2004), but the use of a soil NO₃−N test has not been adequately explored for turfgrass. Correlations between reflectance meter measurements and visual color, visual quality, tissue N, or chlorophyll concentration (Trenholm et al., 1999; Landschoot and Mancino, 2000; Rodriguez and Miller, 2000; Mangiafico and Guillard, 2005) suggest that reflectance meters may be used to guide N fertilization of turf, but this application also has not been sufficiently explored. While these methods show potential for N management of turfgrass, their uses are limited because there are few studies exploring their applications in turfgrass. They may become widely adopted in the future if further study confirms their practicality, and turfgrass industry professionals and soil testing laboratories embrace them.

A currently available tool for N management of turfgrass is leaf tissue N analysis. Plant tissue analysis for N is often available at commercial and government-run soil and plant testing laboratories, and tissue analysis may help to identify management problems not indicated by a soil test. Minimum tissue N concentrations for adequate growth have been established for some cool-season grasses managed as forage, including Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.), perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.), and tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.). Minimum adequate N concentrations for herbage range from 26 to 28 g kg⁻¹ for Kentucky bluegrass, from 25 to 35 g kg⁻¹ for perennial ryegrass, and from 28 to 32 g kg⁻¹ for tall fescue (Kelling and Matocha, 1990; Pinkerton et al., 1997). Because these species are subjected to different management regimes when grown as forage crops than when grown as turfgrass; however, it is not certain that these minimum adequate ranges would be appropriate for turfgrass. A sufficiency range for clippings N concentration has been reported for turfgrass, from 27.5 to 35.0 g kg⁻¹ (Jones, 1980; Turner and Hummel, 1992). However, this range was based on general observations, and no indication was given as to turf species, climate, or management practices from which the data were derived. Differences in tissue N concentration of clippings among species and cultivars were found under similar management conditions (Liu et al., 1993; Liu and Hull, 2006). A single range of optimum values for tissue N concentration may not be applicable to all turf species or all growing conditions. Ranges of clippings N concentrations have been given for a survey of healthy turf: 24 to 83 g kg⁻¹ for creeping bentgrass, 34 to 47 g kg⁻¹ for tall fescue, 33 to 51 g kg⁻¹ for perennial ryegrass, and 25 to 51 g kg⁻¹ for Kentucky bluegrass (Mills and Jones, 1996). Averages of clippings N concentration from a survey of healthy turf were 37 g kg⁻¹ for creeping red fescue (Festuca rubra L.) and 54 g kg⁻¹ for Kentucky bluegrass (Mills and Jones, 1996). These ranges and averages, however, were not compared with turf growth or quality and were not presented as optimum ranges.

We are aware of no peer-reviewed field calibration studies that compared grass growth or quality to leaf N concentrations to determine optimum ranges for grasses grown under turfgrass management conditions. One study that compared turf growth to N concentration reported a linear increase in perennial ryegrass growth with increasing assimilated-N concentration in clippings (from ~30 to >50 mg N g⁻¹), but reported no plateau in growth at high assimilated-N concentrations (Bowman, 2003). Because the turf was grown as a hydroponic culture and received frequent N applications, however, it is not known if these results would suggest an optimum range applicable to turf grown under field conditions.

Studies reporting N concentrations for well-nourished turf may give some indication of expected optimum tissue N concentrations. For a mixed species lawn receiving 392 kg N yr⁻¹, tissue N concentrations were typically between 40 and 50 g kg⁻¹ by the end of the growing season (Kopp and Guillard, 2002a), but reported tissue N concentrations were >50 g kg⁻¹ for this treatment on some sample dates, and <20 g kg⁻¹ for the same treatment on other sample dates. Similarly, while tissue N concentrations were typically between 39 and 51 g kg⁻¹ for N-fertilized perennial ryegrass turf, tissue N concentrations were as high as 61 g kg⁻¹ on some sample dates and as low as 34 g kg⁻¹ on other sample dates for similarly fertilized treatments (Miltner et al., 2001). Tissue N concentrations were typically between 40 and 49 g kg⁻¹ for a creeping bentgrass turf fertilized with urea, but on one sampling date were as high as 74 g kg⁻¹ (Davis and Dernoeden, 2002). Reported tissue N concentrations higher than an expected optimum range may be a result of luxury consumption, especially when studies report values for total N, which includes unassimilated N compounds. Luxury consumption is defined as an increase in tissue concentration of a nutrient by absorption from the growing medium, when the increase doesn’t correspond to a further increase in plant growth (Epstein, 1972). For turf, this definition may be amended to include other plant responses such as color, density, or recovery from injury. Cultural management factors and the timing of tissue sampling relative to fertilization may also affect tissue N concentration. Some reported concentrations may not be representative of optimum tissue N but may be the result of excess available N or particularly ideal conditions for N uptake, either coupled with luxury consumption. Calibration studies directly comparing turf growth or quality to N concentrations are needed to confidently
identify optimum ranges without inflation due to luxury consumption or date-specific conditions.

Other field studies reporting tissue N concentrations averaged across sampling dates for N fertilized turf reported 36, 43 to 45, and 38 g kg⁻¹ for Kentucky bluegrass (Wesley et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1993; Frank et al., 2006, respectively), and 41 to 44 and 36 to 39 g kg⁻¹ for perennial ryegrass and tall fescue respectively (Liu et al., 1993). Since these values are averages across sampling dates, their application as optimum concentrations may be limited. Greenhouse studies have reported tissue N concentrations as high as 58 g kg⁻¹ for perennial ryegrass (Bowman et al., 1989; Bowman and Paul, 1992; Bowman, 2003), and as high as 51 g kg⁻¹ for creeping bentgrass (McCrimmon et al., 1992). Because these studies were conducted under greenhouse conditions, in some cases of short duration, and in some cases employing hydroponically grown turf, it is not certain that these values would be applicable to field conditions.

Tissue N analysis holds promise as a tool for N management of turfgrass. However, optimum levels for turfgrass tissue N have not been validated with calibration studies. This study was conducted to identify optimum leaf tissue N concentrations for growth and color responses of a mixed cool-season species lawn in Connecticut.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field Experiment Design and Management**

A field experiment was conducted at the University of Connecticut Plant Science Teaching and Research Farm in Storrs, CT, during two consecutive growing seasons (2003 and 2004). Twenty-seven field plots, each measuring 1.5 × 1.5 m, were arranged in a randomized complete block design. Treatments consisted of nine rates of N application. Each month, from May to October, each plot received 0, 4.9, 9.8, 19.6, 29.4, 39.1, 48.9, 73.4, or 97.9 kg N ha⁻¹, for a total of between 0 and 587 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, applied as NH₄NO₃. Phosphorus (P) as aqueous KH₂PO₄ and K as aqueous KH₂PO₄ were applied equally to all columns four times during the growing season to a height of 4.5 cm and clippings remained on the plots. No irrigation was added to supplement natural precipitation, and turf did not undergo dormancy during summer months.

The site had been seeded to 34% creeping red fescue (variety unstated), 15% ‘Cutter’ perennial ryegrass, 15% ‘Elf’ perennial ryegrass, and 30% Kentucky bluegrass (variety unstated), by weight, in 1999. The stand remained in turf since then, receiving 98 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and treated to prevent weed infestation, before this study. The species composition of the stand at the commencement of this experiment was not recorded, but the seeded species remained the dominant plants, in about equal proportion. The native soil was a Paxton fine sandy loam (Coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Oxyaquic Dystrudepts).

In April 2003 and April 2004, columns were seeded with Kentucky bluegrass at a rate of 196 kg seed ha⁻¹. Nitrogen as aqueous NH₄NO₃, was applied at seeding at a rate of 12.2 kg N ha⁻¹, and irrigation was then applied daily at a rate of 0.4 cm d⁻¹ until the turf was established. After turf establishment, irrigation was applied at 2.5 cm wk⁻¹ from May to November. Phosphorus as aqueous KH₂PO₄, and K as aqueous KH₂PO₄, and aqueous KCl were applied equally to all columns four times during the experiment according to soil test recommendations, for a total of 170 kg P ha⁻¹ and 292 kg K ha⁻¹. Soil pH remained greater than 6.5 throughout the experiment. Turf was grown in a greenhouse under natural light. A whitewash shading compound (Continental Products Co., Euclid, OH) was applied each April and removed each October, resulting in a 38% reduction in incoming light during this period. Automated controls in the greenhouse were set for heating when temperatures were below 16°C and cooling when temperature was greater than 24°C. Hydrogen dioxide (Biosafe Systems, Glastonbury, CT) was applied regularly throughout the experiment to turf leaves, and propiconazole (3,7-dichloro-8-quinolinocarboxylic acid) quinclorac (1-[6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl|-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine) were applied to all plots to control annual grass weeds and broadleaf weeds, respectively.

**Greenhouse Experiment Design and Management**

A greenhouse study was conducted with a similar design as the field experiment but with different soil, turf species, and irrigation regime. Sixty-four intact soil columns were arranged in randomized complete block design and seeded to Kentucky bluegrass (60% ‘Midnight’, 20% ‘Apollo’, 20% ‘Rambo’) (LESCO, Strongsville, OH). Treatments consisted of 16 rates of N fertilization: 0, 4.9, 9.8, 14.7 19.6, 24.5, 29.3, 34.2, 39.1, 44.0, 48.9, 58.7, 68.5, 78.2, 88.0, and 97.8 kg N ha⁻¹ mo⁻¹ from May to October 2003 and 2004, for a total of between zero and 587 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Nitrogen was applied as aqueous NH₄NO₃.

Soil columns of an Agawam fine sandy loam (coarse-loamy over sandy or sandy-skeletal, mixed, active, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts) were obtained in 1998 for use in an experiment concerning nitrate leaching from creeping bentgrass (Kopp and Guillard, 2005). Each column measured 76.2 cm tall by 20.3 cm i.d. Schedule 40 PVC pipe was cut into sections, driven into the soil, and excavated to obtain undisturbed soil columns. In the greenhouse, each column was fitted with high-density polyethylene funnels lined with glass fabric and pea stone to prevent soil loss but allow percolate water to drain. At the beginning of our experiment, the upper 10 cm of the soil had a pH of 6.9, organic matter concentration of 29.1 g kg⁻¹ (Ball, 1964), and 5.52 mg kg⁻¹ 0.01 M CaCl₂ extractable NO₃–N after initial fertilization at seeding.

In April 2003 and April 2004, columns were seeded to Kentucky bluegrass at a rate of 196 kg seed ha⁻¹. Nitrogen as aqueous NH₄NO₃, was applied at seeding at a rate of 12.2 kg N ha⁻¹, and irrigation was then applied daily at a rate of 0.4 cm d⁻¹ until the turf was established. After turf establishment, irrigation was applied at 2.5 cm wk⁻¹ from May to November. Phosphorus as aqueous KH₂PO₄, and K as aqueous KH₂PO₄, and aqueous KCl were applied equally to all columns four times during the experiment according to soil test recommendations, for a total of 170 kg P ha⁻¹ and 292 kg K ha⁻¹. Soil pH remained greater than 6.5 throughout the experiment. Turf was grown in a greenhouse under natural light. A whitewash shading compound (Continental Products Co., Euclid, OH) was applied each April and removed each October, resulting in a 38% reduction in incoming light during this period. Automated controls in the greenhouse were set for heating when temperatures were below 16°C and cooling when temperature was greater than 24°C. Hydrogen dioxide (Biosafe Systems, Glastonbury, CT) was applied regularly throughout the experiment to turf leaves, and propiconazole {1-[2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-propyl-1,3-dioxo-1H-1,2,4-triazole]} and quinclorac (3,7-dichloro-8-quinolinocarboxylic acid) were applied to all plots to control annual grass weeds and broadleaf weeds, respectively.
Leaf Nitrogen, Hue, CM1000 Index, and Clipping Yield

In the field experiment, turfgrass clipping samples were taken once per month from May through September, 2003 and 2004, for a total of 10 sample dates. Clippings samples were collected 12 to 18 d, or one to two mowings, after plots were fertilized. Leaf blades were clipped from a 470-cm² area of a plot, collecting all tissue above 4.5 cm from the soil surface. Clippings were dried at 71°C for 48 h and weighed. These weights were used as clipping yield measurements. These clippings were ground in a UDY Cyclone mill (UDY Corp., Fort Collins, CO) to pass through a 0.5-mm screen and analyzed for total N by persulfate digestion (Purcell and King, 1996) and subsequent NO₃⁻N determination on a Scientific Instruments continuous flow analyzer (WESTCO, Danbury, CT) by the Griess-Ilosvay method (Keeney and Nelson, 1982). For each digestion, a digestion check sample of nicotinic acid of known weight and N concentration was used to ensure complete digestion and recovery of organic N.

For the field experiment, clipping yield was plotted against leaf N concentration and a linear plateau model consisting of a linear segment and a plateau segment \( y = a + bx, x \leq (CC); y = a + b(CC), x > (CC) \), where CC, the critical concentration, is the value on the x axis where the segments join was developed for each sample date. With this model, no further increase in clipping yield is predicted when leaf N concentration is increased beyond the critical concentration. In cases where a significant \((\alpha = 0.05)\) linear plateau model could not be found, the plateau yield value was calculated as the mean of the six highest yields for that date. Deviations from plateau yields were calculated as the differences between yield and plateau yield for each date. These deviations were pooled across all sample dates and plotted against corresponding leaf N concentrations. Deviations from plateau yields compared with leaf N concentrations have been used to identify luxury consumption of N in corn (Zea mays L.) (Cerrato and Blackmer, 1991). A linear plateau model was generated on the pooled data. The same procedure was followed for CM1000 index and CIE hue measurements. For the greenhouse experiment, clipping yield, CM1000 index, and CIE hue were averaged across all dates and each was plotted against leaf N concentration. A linear plateau model was developed for each.

For the field experiment, clipping yield was plotted against fertilizer N application rates, and a linear plateau model was developed for each date. On dates for which the plateau segment of the linear plateau model encompassed less than six data points or when a significant \((\alpha = 0.05)\) linear plateau model could not be found, a linear model \(y = a + bx\) was used instead. For greenhouse data, leaf N concentration was related to fertilizer N application rate with a linear plateau model.

Linear and linear plateau models were generated with the REG and NLIN procedures in the Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) package (SAS Institute, 1999). All models were checked for homoscedasticity, normality of residuals, and independence of residuals (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Clipping Yield, Hue, and CM1000 Response to Leaf Nitrogen

Significant \((p < 0.05)\) linear plateau models were found relating deviations from plateau values for clipping yield, CIE hue, and CM1000 index to leaf N concentration, for data pooled across sample dates, for the field experiment (Fig. 1). Critical concentrations in leaf N ranged from 30.0 to 33.3 g N kg⁻¹ across clipping yield, CIE hue, or CM1000 index measurements. These values are within that suggested as a sufficiency range for turfgrass (Jones, 1980; Turner and Hummel, 1992), and is similar to the lower values in survey ranges and averages presented for Kentucky bluegrass, perennial ryegrass, and creeping red fescue (Mills and Jones, 1996). Our critical concentrations, however, are generally lower than maximum or average tissue N concentrations reported for Kentucky bluegrass (Liu et al., 1993; Frank et al., 2006), perennial ryegrass (Liu et al., 1993; Miltner et
al., 2001), and mixed cool-season species turfs (Kopp and Guillard, 2002a). Tissue N concentrations greater than expected optimum ranges in these studies may be a result of luxury consumption of N, differences in management practices, or differences in timing of tissue sampling.

Variability in our data close to the critical concentration in these plots (Fig. 1), however, may limit the usefulness of leaf N analysis in cases when leaf N is close to optimum (Cerrato and Blackmer, 1991). Approximate 95% confidence intervals constructed for estimates of the critical concentrations from these models were 28.5 to 31.5; 30.3 to 32.8; and 29.0 to 37.5 for clipping yield, CM1000 index, and hue, respectively (Fig. 1). These confidence intervals may give some further indication of reasonable optimum ranges considering the variability of the pooled data. Since our field experiment turf stand was of mixed species composition, the critical concentrations determined for this experiment might not be applicable to stands of single species, since optimum ranges may vary among turf species.

Significant ($p < 0.05$) linear plateau models were found relating mean clipping yield, mean CIE hue, and mean CM1000 index to leaf N concentration, for the greenhouse experiment (Fig. 2). Critical concentrations in leaf N ranged from 27.1 to 32.0 g N kg$^{-1}$ across clipping yield, CIE hue, or CM1000 index measurements. This range is similar to the sufficiency range for tissue N concentration suggested for turfgrass (Jones, 1980; Turner and Hummel, 1992), and similar to lower values in the survey range presented for Kentucky bluegrass (Mills and Jones, 1996). Our critical values are lower than values from studies reporting average tissue N values for N fertilized Kentucky bluegrass turfs (Wesley et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1993; Frank et al., 2006; Liu and Hull, 2006). Differences in critical concentrations between our field and greenhouse studies were small, despite differences in species, management, and irrigation regime. The critical concentrations from our experiments did not differ much from minimum adequate tissue N concentrations for forage perennial ryegrass or forage Kentucky bluegrass (Kelling and Matocha, 1990; Pinkerton et al., 1997).
Turfgrass may have responses to available N other than the growth, color, and reflectance meter measurements explored in this study. Other turf responses include changes in stand density, disease incidence, or recovery from injury. These other responses were not investigated in this study, and their relationships to tissue N concentration may suggest critical concentrations different than those reported in this study.

**Leaf Nitrogen Response to Nitrogen Fertilizer Rate**

Significant ($p < 0.05$) linear plateau models were found relating leaf N concentration to fertilizer N application rate for 6 of 10 sample dates for the field experiment (Fig. 3). Significant linear models were found for the other four dates (Fig. 3). For linear plateau models, plateau values for leaf N ranged from 31.3 to 34.7 g N kg$^{-1}$. The values of the plateaus from our study suggest that the low end of a recommended optimum range for leaf N concentration should not exceed about 31 g kg$^{-1}$, since concentrations above this were not obtainable on some sample dates even with high fertilizer N rates, for the conditions of our study. Leaf N concentrations exceeded 31 g kg$^{-1}$ notably on some sample dates (Aug. 2003, July 2004, Sept. 2004) (Fig. 3). Pooled data from our field experiment (Fig. 1) and greenhouse experiment (Fig. 2) included critical leaf N concentrations greater than 31 g kg$^{-1}$, but an inspection of these figures suggests that marginal improvements in turf clipping yield, CIE hue, and CM1000 index will be small above about 30 g N kg$^{-1}$ for each of our experiments. Prudence would recommend using lower critical concentrations from these experiments to avoid excess N fertilization. A critical concentration of 27.5 g N kg$^{-1}$ corresponds to the lower end of the sufficiency range suggested for turfgrass (Jones, 1980; Turner and Hummel, 1992).

The reciprocal of the slopes of the linear segment of the linear plateau models and of the linear models relating leaf N concentration to N application rate (Fig. 3) give an indication of the amount of additional N fertilizer required to realize a marginal change in leaf N concentration. The reciprocal of these slopes ranged from 3.7 to...
13.9 kg fertilizer N ha\(^{-1}\) mo\(^{-1}\) for each g N kg\(^{-1}\) change in leaf N for our field experiment. The relatively small range in the reciprocal of these slopes suggests that a leaf N test for turfgrass can indicate the amount of N fertilizer recommended to apply for maximum turf response. However, further experiments would be needed to validate those recommendations.

A significant linear plateau model relating leaf N concentration to fertilizer N application rate was found for data from the greenhouse experiment (Fig. 4). The plateau value from this model was 33.1 g N kg\(^{-1}\), which falls within the range of plateau leaf N concentrations from the field experiment. The reciprocal of the slope of the linear segment of this linear plateau model (Fig. 4) was 4.7 kg fertilizer N ha\(^{-1}\) mo\(^{-1}\) for each g N kg\(^{-1}\) leaf N. This value is within the range given for the field experiment, though differences in leaf N responses to fertilizer N application are to be expected with different turf species (Liu et al., 1993; Liu and Hull, 2006), soil, and management practices.

Plateau values of leaf N in response to fertilizer N rate (Fig. 3 and 4) exceeded critical concentrations of leaf N for yield on most sample dates (Fig. 2 for greenhouse, data not shown for individual sample dates for field). The difference in these values suggests that the turf in these experiments exhibited luxury consumption of N; since at high fertilizer N rates, additional N fertilizer caused increases in leaf N concentration without corresponding increases in clipping yield, CIE hue or CM1000 index. The extent of this luxury consumption was small in our experiments, however. Differences between plateau N concentration and mean critical concentration for clipping yield ranged from −0.4 to 5.8 g kg\(^{-1}\) across sample dates and experiments (data not shown). Because we did not speciate leaf N into assimilated and unassimilated pools, however, our estimates for luxury consumption could include assimilated N that did not correspond to a response in growth. The relatively small extent of luxury consumption measured in this experiment may be related to our sampling protocol. Any unassimilated N stored in the leaf tissue would be removed by the one or two mowings before sampling.

Because models relating leaf N concentration to fertilizer N application rate included plateaus in leaf N at high N fertilizer rates (Fig. 3 and 4), a leaf N test may not be able to identify sites with excess available soil N, especially when tissue sampling is performed after turf has been mowed. When turf is actively growing and subjected to regular mowing, leaf N may represent chlorophyll, structural proteins, and enzymes largely associated with the photosynthetic apparatus, but little stored N. If this is the case, plateaus in leaf N concentrations with increasing fertilizer N rate may be a result of the genetic or environmental maximum for photosynthetic structure density in the turf leaves. Unassimilated N may be stored in plant structures other than the leaf. Apparent luxury consumption of N in this study suggests that unassimilated N might be stored in the leaf under some conditions, though tissue analysis for total N cannot directly differentiate between assimilated and stored compounds. These considerations suggest that a test that is better able to differentiate stored N from assimilated N in plant tissue or which samples available N in the soil may be better able to identify sites with excess available soil N.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Models relating turfgrass clipping yield, CIE hue, and CM1000 index to leaf N concentrations suggest that leaf N analysis could be used to separate turf sites with optimum leaf N from those with below optimum leaf N. These models suggested a lower value for the optimum range for leaf N concentration of 30 g kg\(^{-1}\). This value differs only slightly with the lower end of the sufficiency range suggested for turfgrass (Jones, 1980; Turner and Hummel, 1992) but is lower than maximum or average values reported for tissue N by other studies. The disparity between sufficiency concentrations and higher leaf N concentration observed in some studies suggests that optimum levels cannot be determined by simply examining tissue N levels across N fertilizer rates. Ranges in leaf N concentrations reported in some literature should not be reinterpreted as optimum values. Calibration studies comparing turf growth or quality responses to tissue N concentrations are needed. Our turf exhibited luxury consumption, though only to a small extent. High tissue N concentrations reported by other studies may be a result of greater luxury consumption. Plateaus in leaf N concentration with increasing fertilizer N rate suggest that turf leaf N analysis will be unable to detect sites with excess available soil N, at least with a similar leaf sample collection schedule as that used in this study. Considering this, the inclusion in an N management program of a test capable of detecting excess N in soil or plant tissue...
to complement tissue N testing would be desirable. Even though this study found similar critical concentrations in leaf N in both field and greenhouse experiments, further calibration studies are necessary across species, climates, and management practices before optimum leaf N values can be determined with confidence.
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